

Read in open Court
3/12/07

PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS

Members of the jury:

Now that you have been sworn, I will give you some preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation in the trial.

Duty of Jury

It will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You , and you alone, are the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply to those facts the law as I will give it to you. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or not.

Nothing I may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

Evidence

The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents, and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts the lawyers agree or stipulate to or that the court may instruct you to find.

The following things are not evidence and must not be considered by you:

1. Statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are not evidence.
2. Objections to questions are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make an objection when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by my ruling on it. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it is overruled, treat the answer like any other. If I instruct you that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

3. Testimony that I have excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered.

4. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I will give you further instructions on these as well as other matters at the end of the case, but have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness' testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case.

Rule for Criminal Cases

As you know, this is a criminal case. There are three basic rules about a criminal case that you must keep in mind.

First, a defendant is presumed innocent unless proven guilty. The indictment against the defendant brought by the government is only an accusation, nothing more. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. The defendant therefore starts out with a clean slate.

Second, the burden of proof is on the government throughout the case. A defendant does not have the burden to prove his innocence, or to present any evidence, or to testify. Since the defendant has the right to remain silent, the law prohibits you in arriving at your verdict from considering that the defendant may not have testified.

Third, in order to prove the defendant guilty, the government must prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I will give you further instructions on this point later, but bear in mind that in this respect a criminal case is different from a civil case.

Summary of Applicable Law

In this case, the defendant is charged with violations of federal law. Specifically, the Indictment charges the defendant with two counts of knowingly and fraudulently making certain false oaths in connection with a bankruptcy proceeding, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 152(2). Section 152(2) of Title 18 of the United States Code provides that:

Whoever knowingly and fraudulently makes a false oath or account in or in relation to any bankruptcy proceeding . . . shall be guilty of an offense against the laws of the United States.

In order to meet its burden of proof with regard to these crimes, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following elements:

First, that on or about August 28, 2003 there existed a proceeding in bankruptcy in the District of Delaware.

Second, that the defendant made a statement under oath in or in relation to that proceeding.

Third, that the statement concerned a material fact.

Fourth, that the statement was false.

Fifth, that the defendant made such statement knowingly and fraudulently, with the intent to deceive the trustee, the creditors, or the bankruptcy court, or any other person or entity.

Conduct of the Jury

Now, a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, I instruct you that during the trial you are not to discuss the case with anyone or permit anyone to discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you simply are not to talk about this case, even with each other. If anyone should try to talk to you about it, bring it to the court's attention promptly.

Second, do not read or listen to anything touching on this case in any way.

Third, do not try to do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own.

Finally, do not form any conclusion about the matters at issue until all the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

If you wish, you may take notes. If you do take notes, leave them in the jury room when you leave at night. And remember that they are for your own personal use – they are not to be given or read to anyone else.

Course of the Trial

The trial will now begin. First, the government will make an opening statement, which is simply an outline to help you understand the evidence as it comes in. Next, the defendant's attorney may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. Opening statements are neither evidence nor arguments.

The government will then present its witnesses and counsel for defendant may cross-examine them. Following the government's case, the defendant may, if he wishes, present

witnesses whom the government may cross-examine. After all the evidence is in, ~~the attorneys~~

I will instruct you on the law and the attorneys
will present their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you, ~~and the~~

~~court will instruct you on the law.~~ After that, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.